

## आयुक्त(अपील)का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय,अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्वमार्ग, अम्बावाड़ीअहमदाबाद३८००१५. CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 07926305065 – टेलेफैक्स07926305136



<u>DIN</u>: 20230664SW000000B436

## स्पीड पोस्ट

क फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/1246/2023 /19 32 - 3 6

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal No. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-42/2023-24 दिनाँक Date: 22-05-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 01.06.2023

आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारापारित

Passed by Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Commissioner (Appeals)

- ग Arising out of OIO No. MP/34/DC/Div-IV/22-23 दिनॉक: 08.08.2022 passed by Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South
- ध अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address

#### **Appellant**

M/s Patel Mukesh Ganeshbhai 4/B, Ashwamegh High Land, Behind Divine High Land, Science City Road, Sola, Ahmedabad - 380060

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

#### Revision application to Government of India:

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप—धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001.को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:
- (ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to a varehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

1

- (क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ख) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतरमूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ.का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/-फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्नरकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी/35—इ के अंतर्गत:—
  - Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-
- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण<u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन , असरवा , गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद—380004
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होत हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्कअधिनियम 1970 यथासंशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रू.6.50 पैसे कान्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-litem of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

53ण सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण<u>(सिस्टेट)</u>,के प्रतिअपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग(Demand) एवं दंड(Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है।(Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded)-

a. (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;

इण लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;

बंग सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया
है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

(xxv) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(xxvi) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(xxvii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(XXVII) allount payable under Male 9 51 मांच 3517 की किए गए शुल्क के 10% इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where remains alone is in dispute."

#### ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Patel I/Iukesh Ganeshbhai, 4/B, Ashwamegh High Land, Behind Divine High Land, Science City Road, Sola, Ahmedabad – 380060 (hereinafter referred to as "the appellant") against Order-in-Original No. MP/34/DC/Div-IV/22-23 dated 08.08.2022 issued on 17.08.2022 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

- 2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the appellant are holding PAN No. AAMPP2357B. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) for the Financial Year 2014-15, it was noticed that the appellant had earned an income of Rs. 80,64,911/- during the FY 2014-15, which was reflected under the heads "Sales / Gross Receipts from Services (Value from ITR)" or "Total amount paid / credited under Section 194C, 194I, 194H, 194J (Value from Form 26AS)" filed with the Income Tax department. Accordingly, it appeared that the appellant had earned the said substantial income by way of providing taxable services but have neither obtained Service Tax registration nor paid the applicable service tax thereon. The appellant were called upon to submit copies of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Income Tax Return, Form 26AS, for the said period. However, the appellant had not responded to the letters issued by the department.
- Subsequently, the appellant were issued Show Cause Notice No. IV/Div-IV/SCN-22/20-21 dated 21.12.2020 demanding Service Tax amounting to Rs. 9,96,823/- for the period FY 2014-15, under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994. The SCN also proposed recovery of interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994; and imposition of penalties under Section 77(1), Section 77(2) & Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994.
- 2.2 The Show Cause Notice was adjudicated, ex-parte, vide the impugned order by the adjudicating authority wherein the demand of Service Tax amounting to Rs. 9,96,823/- was confirmed under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with Interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 for the period from FY 2014-15. Further (i) Penalty of Rs. 9,96,823/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994; (ii) Penalty of Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 77(1)(a) and Section 77(1)(c) of the Finance Act, 1994; and (iii) Penalty of Rs. 5,000/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 77(2)of the Finance Act, 1994 for not submitting documents to the department, when called for.



- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the appellant have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:
  - The appellant are engaged in providing services of Civil Construction to the department of Railway during the FY 2014-15.
  - The appellant have provided the original works services to the Western Railway, which is a part of the Ministry of Railway i.e., "Government" and that appellant have not provided services to any person except Railways during the FY 2014-15.
  - The appellant were not having any other income other than the services provided to the Western Railway i.e., the governmental authority. In support of that the copy of 26AS for FY 2014-15, copy of Profit and Loss Account for FY 2014-15 submitted by them.
  - The total revenue earned by the appellant for FY 2014-15 was from Western Railway amounting to Rs. 80,64,911/-. The appellant have not paid the service tax on such income earned as the exemption was given under Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012 Service Tax dated 20.06.2012 for the given services. The said notification exempts the specified taxable services from the whole of the service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the Finance Act, 1994.
  - As per the Entry No. 14 of the Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012, it can be determined that there is an exemption from payment of service tax for the above mentioned services. The appellant were taking the benefit of the same notification and hence not paid the service tax on the income earned for FY 2014-15. For the above purpose the copy of the work completion certificate received from the Western Railway was submitted by them along with appeal memorandum.
  - The Railways Act defines government railway under Section 2(20). Government railway 'means a railway owned by the Central Government'.
  - The activity of maintenance, repair are distinct and separate taxable services listed under Sr. No. 12 of Notification No. 25/2012-ST and it allows exemption in respect of repair and maintenance of a civil structure. Therefore, services of appellant were to Railways for repairs and maintenance is eligible for the above exemption.



- The show cause notice and hearing notices have been sent to the old address of the appellant and therefore the appellant were not aware about the same. Hence, they have not attended any hearing or file a reply to the show cause notice issued. Also, the appellant have filed the application for address change. In the same the appellant have requested to send the notice to the new address. The copy of the said letter submitted by them along with appeal memorandum.
- When service tax is not at all payable, charging of interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 and imposing penalties under Section 77 and 78 does not arise. Also, Penalty under proviso to Section 78(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 cannot be imposed as there was no suppression or wilful misstatement on part of the appellant with regards to non-payment of service tax as mentioned herein above.
- 4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 16.05.2023. Ms. Aashal Patel, Chartered Accountant, appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing. She reiterated submissions made in appeal memorandum.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand of service tax against the appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period FY 2014-15.
- 6. I find that in the SCN in question, the demand has been raised for the period FY 2014-15 based on the Income Tax Returns filed by the appellant. Except for the value of "Sales of Services under Sales / Gross Receipts from Services" provided by the Income Tax Department, no other cogent reason or justification is forthcoming from the SCN for raising the demand against the appellant. It is also not specified as to under which category of service the non-levy of service tax is alleged against the appellant. Merely because the appellant had reported receipts from services, the same cannot form the basis for arriving at the conclusion that the respondent was liable to pay service tax, which was not paid by them. In this regard, I find that CBIC had, vide Instruction dated 26.10.2021, directed that:

"It was further reiterated that demand notices may not be issued indiscriminately based on the difference between the ITR-TDS taxable value and the taxable value in Service Tax Returns.



- 3. It is once again reiterated that instructions of the Board to issue show cause notices based on the difference in ITR-TDS data and service tax returns only after proper verification of facts, may be followed diligently. Pr. Chief Commissioner /Chief Commissioner (s) may devise a suitable mechanism to monitor and prevent issue of indiscriminate show cause notices. Needless to mention that in all such cases where the notices have already been issued, adjudicating authorities are expected to pass a judicious order after proper appreciation of facts and submission of the noticee."
- 6.1 In the present case, I find that letters were issued to the appellant seeking details and documents, which were allegedly not submitted by them. However, without any further inquiry or investigation, the SCN has been issued only on the basis of details received from the Income Tax department, without even specifying the category of service in respect of which service tax is sought to be levied and collected. This, in my considered view, is not a valid ground for raising of demand of service tax.
- 7. It is observed that the main contentions of the appellant are that (i) they have provided Construction services to the Western Railway amounting to Rs. 80,64,911/- during FY 2014-15 and the said services were exempted as per Sr. No. 14 of the Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012 Service Tax dated 20.06.2012; (ii) the services provided by the appellant to Railways for repairs and maintenance is eligible for exemption from service tax Sr. No. 12 of Notification No. 25/2012-ST. It is also observed that the adjudicating authority has confirmed the demand of Service Tax in the impugned order, which was passed ex-parte.
- 8. For ease of reference, I reproduce the relevant provision for Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012 as amended, which reads as under:

# "Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax dated 20th June, 2012

G.S.R. 467(E).- In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 93 of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and in supersession of notification No. 12/2012- Service Tax, dated the 17th March, 2012, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i) vide number G.S.R. 210 (E), dated the 17th March, 2012, the Central Government, being satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby exempts the following taxable services from



the whole of the service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the said Act, namely:-

*1* ...

2... ... ...

- 12. Services provided to the Government, a local authority or a governmental authority by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation, or alteration of—
- (a) [a civil structure or any other original works meant predominantly for use other than for commerce, industry, or any other business or profession]; \*\*\*\* omitted by Notification No. 6/2015-ST dated 01.03.2015 w.e.f. 01.04.2015
- (b) a historical monument, archaeological site or remains of national importance, archaeological excavation, or antiquity

specified under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958 (24 of 1958);

- (c) [a structure meant predominantly for use as (i) an educational, (ii) a clinical, or (iii) an art or cultural establishment;] \*\*\*\* omitted by Notification No. 6/2015-ST dated 01.03.2015 w.e.f. 01.04.2015
- (d) canal, dam or other irrigation works;
- (e) pipeline, conduit or plant for (i) water supply (ii) water treatment, or (iii) sewerage treatment or disposal; or

*(f)* .......

.....

- [12A. Services provided to the Government, a local authority or a governmental authority by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation, or alteration of—
- (a) a civil structure or any other original works meant predominantly for use other than for commerce, industry, or any other business or profession;
- (b) a structure meant predominantly for use as (i) an educational, (ii) a clinical, or (iii) an art or cultural establishment; or



(c) a residential complex predominantly meant for self-use or the use of their employees or other persons specified in the Explanation 1 to clause (44) of section 65 B of the said Act;

under a contract which had been entered into prior to the 1st March, 2015 and on which appropriate stamp duty, where applicable, had been paid prior to such date:

Provided that nothing contained in this entry shall apply on or after the 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2020] Inserted vide Notification No. 9/2016-ST dated 01.03.2016 w.e.f. 01.03.2016

14. Services by way of construction, erection, commissioning, or installation of original works pertaining to, -

- (a) an airport, port or railways, including monorail or metro;"
- 9. On verification of the Form 26AS; Profit & Loss Account for the FY 2014-15; Contracts No. W/623/2692/12-13 dated 01.11.2023 and No. W/623/2693/12-13 dated 25.10.2013; Work Completion Certificates No. W/623/2692/12-13 dated 29.10.2015 and No. W/623/2693/12-13 dated 29.10.2015 issued by the Divisional Engineer (North), Western Railway, Ahmedabad, I find that the appellant had provided Construction services to the Western Railway amounting to Rs. 80,64,911/- during the FY 2014-15.
- 9.1 On verification of the Contracts awarded by the Western Railway as mentioned above, it is noticed that the works carried out by the appellant is in nature of Original Works like Asphalted Roads; Water Supply, Drainage and Sewerage & Sanitary Installation; Providing Cement; etc. as well as Maintenance and Repair Works for Civil Structure like Painting, Polishing & Varnishing & Distempering; Providing and fixing Cupboard / Showcase / Windows; Repair of Hydrant Pipe line of coach, etc..
- 9.2 In this regard, I find that the original works pertaining to railways were exempted as per Sr. No. 14 of the Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012 and also the maintenance and repair of civil structure were exempted as per Sr. No. 12(a) of the Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012.

- 10. In view of above, I hold that the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming the demand of service tax, in respect of income received by the appellant during the FY 2014-15, is not legal and proper and deserves to be set aside. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits, there does not arise any question of charging interest or imposing penalties in the case.
- 11. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.
- 12. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।
  The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

(Akhilesh Kumar)
Commissioner (Appeals)

Attested

(R. C. Maniyar)
Superintendent(Appeals),
CGST, Ahmedabad

### By RPAD / SPEED POST

To, M/s. Patel Mukesh Ganeshbhai, 4/B, Ashwamegh High Land, Behind Divine High Land, Science City Road, Sola, Ahmedabad – 380060

The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South

Date: 22.05.2023



Appellant

Respondent

#### Copy to:

- 1) The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2) The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
- 3) The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division IV, Ahmedabad South
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad South
  (for uploading the OIA)
- 5) Guard File
- 6) PA file